

Condition no.	Proposed Amendment (in red text) & Reason (Deicorp)	Council Officers Response
49	<p><u>Replace condition 49 with:</u> Prior to the issue of each relevant construction certificate the Design Integrity Panel (being the Design Competition Jury)is to certify that the design is substantially the same and retains the design excellence exhibited in the winning design competition submission.</p> <p><u>Stated Reasons:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Further approval is the responsibility of the design integrity panel not Council officers. - Please note that the Design Excellence guidelines published by the GANSW and adopted by Parramatta Council make reference to the Post Competition Process ,that the Design Integrity panel are to certify that “the design is substantially the same and retains the design excellence exhibited in the winning submission “ which is line for line with Deicorp’s requested changes. - With this in mind ,surly sign off must be the responsibility of the Design Integrity panel, if not why did we even have a Design Excellence process ,Council preferred conditions, actually show no faith in the Parramatta Design excellence process . - Further to this Deicorp have never seen such a condition including recent major project with City of Sydney , Hills Shire Council and the Department of Planning. - We cannot agree on this condition, it will delay the construction program. If are completing the development as per the approved stamped drawings, this condition is not relevant. If we deviate for any reasons, a MOD application will be lodged to seek council/panel approval. 	<p>Do not agree with the proposed amendments for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Council consistently applies this condition to any application that has been through a design excellence completion to ensure design excellence is achieved. - The review process is consistent with Council’s Design Excellence Guidelines. - The Design Integrity Panel plays an advisory role, as it is ultimately the consent authority, the SCCPP, who determines if design excellence is achieved under the clause 7.11 of the Parramatta LEP. Therefore, the condition has been worded to reflect the Jury’s role.
195	<p><u>Replace Condition 195 with:</u> Prior to the issue of each relevant Occupation Certificate the Design Integrity Panel (being</p>	As above.

Condition no.	Proposed Amendment (in red text) & Reason (Deicorp)	Council Officers Response
	<p>the Design Competition Jury) is to certify that the design is substantially the same and retains the design excellence exhibited in the winning design competition submission “</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> As above.</p>	